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1. Introduction. Historical knowledge on the seasonal pattern of births and deaths is valuable as it gives insight into the everyday life of the observed population. For example, rules of life imposed by religious institutions, seasonal influences on access to food and (room) temperature, seasonal diseases and social events like wars play an important role. There are studies of seasonality for births, e.g. Régnier-Lolier (2010), Régnier-Lolier and Rohrbasser (2011), as well as for deaths, e.g. Biraben (1977), Breschi and Livi Bacci (1986), Fornasin, Breschi and Manfredini (2010), Hollingsworth (1975), Zao (1997) and Rau (2007).

Up until now, larger data sets containing births and deaths for Europe seemed to be available only for the time from the 17th century onwards, with the exception of smaller particular populations of certain towns or regions. So it remains largely unknown how the birth patterns before the 17th century look, while for the time from the 17th century onwards Régnier-Lolier and Rohrbasser (2011) provide valuable data for France.

The present paper aims to investigate further into the past, yet limited to a particular social class, namely noblemen. It uses the possibility given by a new dataset, which reaches back to the beginning of the second millennium. However, the data is analysed here only from the 14th century onwards, because only this dataset is sufficient to perform a reliable statistical analysis. The dataset concerns noblemen from the geographical area which today roughly comprises the European Union plus Liechtenstein, Montenegro, Norway, Russia, Serbia and Switzerland, and considers this noble class as a unique European population. The paper analyzes the seasonality of both births and deaths.

Since noblemen formed a higher class in their respective societies, the authors had expected some deviations from the birth pattern presented in Régnier-Lolier and Rohrbasser (2011), which included all classes of the area considered. And indeed, the seasonality of births observed here is greater than that for the noblemen – perhaps in agreement with the assumption that changes in fertility and mortality patterns start within the upper classes, see Burström and Bernhardt (2001), Haines
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(1985), Preston and Haines (1991), Woods (1984) and Woods, Watterson and Woodward (1988). In relation to the death seasonality the authors had expected a pattern showing the usual peak in March and without differences between the sexes. They were surprised when the result showed clear differences between the sexes and a significant change of the pattern for men since the 18th century.

2. Sources and methods

The information system WW-Person. The statistical analyses of this paper are based on the information system WW-Person. This system was initiated by H.S. in 1994 in the early times of the world wide web. As the name shows, the original aim was more ambitious than to consider only noblemen, namely to consider people and populations in general. However, it turned out that already the study of noblemen alone poses a big problem. Therefore the actual goal of the WW-Person project has been describing members of Europe’s noble classes under various aspects: life data, biographies, relations to other people (including parents, spouses and children), coats-of-arms, properties, portraits, autographs, seals, houses or castles, and tombs or epitaphs. In the beginning the idea was that the set of noblemen could be collected in one or two years and then class-relevant characteristics could easily be added.

However, genealogy is a difficult topic based on a huge amount of data, which is not easy to obtain. While all relevant data were once known and open to all interested people, they now are fixed in written form, yet incomplete. Because human beings are notoriously unreliable, there is now a large amount of books, journal publications, table collections, genealogical notes and note papers in archives, which sustain as well as contradict each other. Therefore, the sub-project of collecting descriptions of people, their life data and biographies and their family relations turned into an approach to apply knowledge-management techniques to genealogy of the noble class.

The state of genealogy is quite various for different European countries. Whereas, for example, the English part seems to be in a good state as there are multi-volume books of complete peerages, there are countries like Spain or France where such sources do not exist at all.

In WW-Person, persons, their names, titles, life data and family relations have been collected from the best sources available. For each of the European countries these sources are different. The primary sources used were printed books focused on nobility genealogy such as Fernandez de Bethencourt (1882-1884), Burke (1953), von Ehrenkrook, von Huck, Franke (1953-2012), Ikonnikov (1956-1961), Litta (1811-1902), Perthes (1811-1942) and Schwennicke (1977-2011). Additionally, journal papers were used if known and useful. For families without known publications, material from various archives was chosen (e.g. Euler, 1995). Up to now H.S. has consulted archives in Barcelona, Besançon, Brandenburg, Dresden, Florence, Karlsruhe, Madrid, Magdeburg, Munich, Münster, Nuremberg, Salzburg, Strasbourg, Toledo, Trier, Vienna, Würzburg and Zurich, and he plans to extend this work. The internet has been only sporadically used because its genealogical pages usually do not show the sources and are often unreliable. By the
way, up to now not all relevant printed sources have been taken into consideration; neither are all related special publications known.

WW-Person uses a detailed source management – which is a new application within the field of genealogy. This means that all used data sources are stored at the relevant data part and are accessible for interested users.

The best case for acquisition of genealogical data for WW-Person is given if there is a table (a page with horizontally or vertically ordered person-related text boxes) covering a set of families. In the first step of data input, such tables are keyed into the computer via a special structural notation. This enables transformation of the content of a table to a series of personal records. If they have the correct family structure then life data and biographical information are added. The second step is then to find the corresponding spouses, which is not a simple task, even if their families are already in WW-Person: often, women (daughters) and dead infants are not included in the genealogies. Additionally, multiple marriages of the same person may be not registered.

WW-Person may contain doublets, i.e. two (or even more) persons’ records for the same person, with perhaps different names and dates. In such cases automatic doublet removal cannot be carried out. Therefore, some life data may be included more than once in our analysis.

Until now, the third step of data input – including all life data available – has not yet been accomplished for all sources. A second work-through of all sources and data check as well as completion of data acquisition will improve the quality of WW-Person. However, the authors assume that the actual state of WW-Person is completely sufficient for the present paper and does not influence its results – why should the above discussed faults in the files have their own seasonality? Surely they are uniformly distributed over the year.

A complicated problem is the definition of the intended set of people, the noblemen. The term ‘European nobility between 700 and today’ sounds easy – but it is of course rather difficult. Setting aside the definition of ‘Europe’, the definition of nobility changes from country to country. For some countries, for example Spain or Bavaria, it is indeed easy: a family is ‘noble’ if the king accepted them as such. In other countries, however, it is a mixture of blood inheritance and royal creation. In Germany, there are the old families (‘Edelherren’) which were nobles before 1000 and the new families (‘paper nobles’) which were made noble people by royal patent.

In France, there was a constant struggle between the royal nobility-control and ambitious families for this classification. Nobles were freed of taxes – a good reason for everybody to claim noble parents. Controllers from Paris roamed the country to increase the king’s tax income. They asked for proof of nobility and refused wrong classifications. (When they left a county, all declassed families started anew.) The revolution of 1789 brought a new wave of disorder and ambitions. Today, there is a group of persons in France that are able to prove their nobility, while the members of another group, in size similar, consider themselves as noble but cannot prove nobility.

In this difficult situation, the following literature-based definition of noblemen was used.
Noblemen are persons who are accepted in the genealogical literature as noblemen, i.e. appear in the books mentioned above or in Perthes (1811-1942), Libro della nobiltà, Genealogisches Handbuch, Burke’s peerage – and do not appear in books on bogus nobility.

Despite the fact that in our time nobility plays a much less significant role, modern false nobility claims and title fraud are not rare.

A second problem, which, however, does not much matter in WW-Person and the presented analysis but in the publication of data about this set of historical persons is the distribution of noblemen over the countries. While the border between England and Scotland changed once or twice, borders on the continent have persistently changed (and may continue to do so). An example is the French-German borderline, which moved forth and back many times in the course of history, starting with the fight of the French against the Germans over Charlemagne’s inheritance after his death in 814.

A further point of concern is citizenship. Today, people have citizenships and thus their assignation to a country appears to be clear. However, citizenship may change in the lifetime of an individual. Was Albert Einstein a Swiss, a German or a US citizen? (He was probably all of those, but not a nobleman.) Should we assume the last citizenship – held at the moment of death – to be the correct one? It was easily possible to be a follower of the Emperor of the Holy Roman Empire and the King (Roi) of France simultaneously. Are the Dauphins of Vienne (near Lyon) German or French? It is safe that they were princes of the Holy Roman Empire. Are the inhabitants of Besançon before 1670 German or French? As it seems, they spoke a Roman dialect. What about Strasbourg (Alsace) in around 1700? The French king ruled there, but the whole of Germany regarded it as a German town. Therefore, all these indications of uncertainty are reasons for the fact that citizenship and nationality do not play a role in WW-Person and are consequently ignored in this paper too. An intersection-free classification would cause a large set of questionable decisions. This holds true for regions of Spain, France, Netherlands, Belgium, Luxemburg, Germany, Austria, Italy, Hungary, Czech and Slowak Republics and the Balkans.

To give the reader an impression, here are approximate numbers of noblemen included in WW-Person. The total number is about 900,000, and there are 86,000 from the British islands, 58,000 Frenchmen, 50,000 Spaniards, 4,000 Portuguese, 86,000 Italians, 66,000 Russians, 16,000 Hungarians, 5,000 Polish, 4,000 Greek, Romanians, Serbs, Montenegrines and Albanians. The big rest includes international families, Germans, Dutch, northern Europeans (Scandinavians) and Czech.

Another problem might be the selection of persons. The following influence factors can be stated: first, the selection of sources, second, the selection of families from the sources made by the authors of the sources, and third, the selection of family members, again by the same authors. For the project, the sources were selected by quality and by accessibility.

One of the goals of WW-Person is completeness. So it aims to overcome the restrictions mentioned above. At present, in the middle of the project, a lot of
important material has not yet been properly analysed. Authors of files might have ignored family lines they considered less important; e.g. in many Russian genealogies women are completely ignored. In extenso sources which contain data of all children who died in infancy are very rare. However, the authors do not see any systematic influence on the distribution of dates of births and deaths through these complicated selection circumstances.

For the present paper the data in a proper sense, the day and its relation to month and year play an important role. The modern system was not always the ruling method. The Romans had reference days in the months (Idus, Kalendes), the years would be taken from the consulate of some people (Emperors). In medieval Europe reference days were days associated with important saints or major holidays. From about 800 AD onwards, the years were counted from a fictitious year of Christ’s birth. However, also the duration of the reign of a king was an often used scheme. A special point in the year’s definition was the year’s end, for which in Europe different definitions applied. The beginning of the year on the 25th of December was very popular, but Easter was also common – even if it was in a different month each year – and there were also other dates. Sometimes it happened that two neighbouring towns applied different definitions. England had set Easter as the beginning of the year until 1700. In genealogical books one finds dates like our modern dates simultaneously with dates related to year-beginning in spring time. (One for the original year and one for the year in modern numbering – fortunately they differ only by 1. For example, for February 22 in 1222: 22.2.1221/1222.)

The mess got even worse when the Roman church introduced the new dating rule in 1582: the Gregorian calendar. The reformed churches did not follow – why should they obey the pope? For the next 150 years there is a general ‘date mess’ in central Europe. All catholic countries (or sub-countries) changed to Gregorian in 1582, while reformed countries (of many different definitions) gradually followed later. The eastern Greek-orthodox countries adopted the Gregorian calendar as late as 1918 only! No wonder that it is a difficult task to find out the effective birth date of a person in Europe back then: one has to consult church records to find out in which historical country the corresponding town or village was located, and in a next step find out when the place accepted the Gregorian calendar.

One of the top publications, the 1904 Abentafel-Atlas by Stephane Kekulé von Stradanitz (1898-1904), was revised in the 1950s and 1960s by French genealogists, who worked through a group of ruling German families to find out their correct life data. However, alas, the resulting books are not free of errors! A complicated system of corrections and corrections of corrections is included – not for the last volume, though. And these books are in French! The first names were systematically translated to French and the German notation variants were removed. So, there is both, progress and backlash in one publication – as it is usual in our world and in science. Fortunately, for the present paper the first names play no important role.

Finally, remember the restricted memory again. What to do if two well accepted sources provide different data for the same event? One has to accept that many historical data are of a fuzzy nature; often only intervals of days are safe. This even
holds true for historically well-accepted events! For the present paper, only relatively simple data were used: the numbers of births and deaths in months over the centuries. (Note that many death data before 1000 are definite in day and month but open in year. We did not use them. Other data are definite in year and month but inconclusive in day – neither did we use those.)

Data analysis. The data from WW-Person were analysed only in situations where the datasets were large enough for obtaining reliable statistical results. This had an influence on the centuries included in the analysis. Only centuries where the numbers of events (births or deaths) were ‘large enough’ were considered, what means that they had to be so large that the (false) statistical null hypothesis of uniform distribution of events over the year is rejected by the classical $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit test, with 12 classes, for the 12 months. (Note that for small samples this hypothesis may be accepted, simply because the sample is small.) This led to the decision to consider births only from the 15th century and female deaths only from the 16th century.

A second question was discrimination of female and male births. The Brandt-Snedecor $\chi^2$ test for $2 \times k$ contingency tables was applied (with $k = 12$) to test the hypothesis that the birth patterns of females and males were equal. As expected this hypothesis was accepted in all centuries and so the numbers of women and men were pooled.

Table 1 gives an impression of the size of the datasets analysed eventually.

The analysis of the data is simple: the numbers of events per month were determined for all centuries. These values were modified by uniforming month lengths, and finally the corresponding 100-indices were determined (Rau 2007) and plotted in the figures below. For example, a value of 100 for one month means that in this month the number of events equals the modified month’s average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Century</th>
<th>Births</th>
<th>Deaths Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.907</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.175</td>
<td>3.604</td>
<td>6.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.968</td>
<td>8.037</td>
<td>11.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>34.549</td>
<td>12.086</td>
<td>15.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>74.889</td>
<td>20.534</td>
<td>25.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>67.696</td>
<td>24.766</td>
<td>27.785</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WW-Person.

3. Results

Seasonality of births. Figure 1 shows the seasonality of births for the periods 15th-17th century and 18th-20th century. There are, more or less clear, in all centuries minima of birth numbers in December und June and maxima in March and August.
Fig. 1. *Seasonal pattern of births of noble people (male and female) (a) from the 15th to 17th century and (b) from the 18th to 20th century (100-indices)*

a.

![Graph showing seasonal pattern of births from the 15th to 17th century.](image)

b.

![Graph showing seasonal pattern of births from the 18th to 20th century.](image)

Source: WW-Person.
There is a general tendency towards uniformity, i.e. the differences of birth numbers in all months across the year become smaller. The strong fluctuations in the 15th century (with maximum in October and minimum in December) result probably from the smallness of the sample – there are only 1907 births recorded in WW-Person. Remarkably, the fluctuations in the 20th century are stronger than in the 19th.

*Seasonality of deaths.* Figures 2 and 3 show the seasonality of deaths for women for the period from the 16th to the 20th century and for men for the periods between the 14th and 17th, and from the 18th to the 20th century. (The curves for noblemen were presented in two figures since one figure showing all curves would be too complex.) There are clear differences between the early and the late male patterns on the one hand, and the female patterns on the other.

Considered through all centuries, most noblewomen died in March, which is the end of winter and a period of many births; compare with Figure 1. Furthermore, there is a relative maximum of deaths in August in the 16th, 17th and 18th century, which is perhaps related to the relative birth maximum in August, or perhaps to summer diseases. The general female death seasonality is retained over the centuries and there is only a weak tendency towards uniformity. (As with the births in December of 15th century, we see the January minimum of deaths of women in the 16th century as a statistical artefact – also here the total number of persons included is small, only 3,604.) In the 18th and 19th century, a relative maximum can be located in August, which, however, is not related to births, but perhaps to summer diseases.

Fig. 2. *Seasonal pattern of deaths of noblewomen from the 16th to the 20th century (100-indices)*

![Seasonal pattern of deaths of noblewomen from the 16th to the 20th century (100-indices)](chart.png)

Source: WW-Person.
Fig. 3. Seasonal pattern of deaths of noblemen (a) from the 14\textsuperscript{th} to 17\textsuperscript{th} century and (b) from the 18\textsuperscript{th} to 20\textsuperscript{th} century (100-indices)

Source: WW-Person.
From the 14th to the 17th century, the majority of noblemen died in the summer half-year, between May and September, see Figure 3a. Over the centuries the fluctuations become smaller: while in the 14th century there is a huge maximum of death numbers in summer time, in the 17th century the death distribution is already nearly uniform.

This pattern is completely different from the death pattern of noblewomen and thus can hardly be explained by summer diseases. So, there must be a difference in the mode of life of noblemen in comparison to that of noble women.

This pattern clearly contrasts with the pattern of noblemen’s deaths in the second period between the 18th and 20th century, shown in Figure 3b. After a transitional century, the 17th, the change is so abrupt and clear like a physical phase transition. Suddenly, the maximum of death numbers has shifted to the year’s first quarter, while in summer and early autumn the numbers of deaths have declined considerably. The pattern is now similar to that of noblewomen, perhaps a bit more uniform across the course of the year.

4. Discussion

Births. There are remarkable differences between the curves in Figure 1, and figure 1 in Régnier-Loïlier and Rohrbasser (2011), which shows the seasonal pattern of births in France from the 17th to 20th century, based on Dupâquier (1976). This figure is reproduced here as Figure 4. It shows a clear tendency towards uniformity, i.e. of reduction of the fluctuations of month values for all social classes. In contrast,
for the noblemen such a clear and beautiful pattern cannot be confirmed. An explanation may be a greater geographical homogeneity of the selected French population, which for the 17th century is restricted to northern France. Furthermore, because of the general tendency towards uniformity, this can be seen also as an example for changes in the fertility and mortality patterns, changes which are believed to have started in the upper classes, see Burström and Bernhardt (2001), Haines (1985), Preston and Haines (1991), Woods (1984) and Woods, Watterson and Woodward (1988).

However, the main minima (December and June) and maxima (March, August) coincide, with exception of the 20th century. The explanations given in Régnier-Loilier and Rohrbasser (2011) are probably also valid for the WW-Person data: the minimum in December is related to the period of Lent; the minimum in June is related to the time before Christmas (Advent); the maximum in March can be explained by the resumption of sexual relations after Easter.

Figure 1 in Manfredini (2007) indicates remarkable differences for the 19th century between Italy and WW-Person. While for the latter the birth maximum is in May-June (where in Italy it is a minimum), in Italy the maximum is in March.

Deaths. The death statistics based on WW-Person shows some relation to social factors, which are perhaps clearer than for the births. The large numbers of deaths in March have been observed by many authors and discussed thoroughly in Rau (2007). In the case of women, the fact that March is a time of increased childbearing might play a role. A similar explanation may be used for the maximum of deaths for women in August (Figure 2.6 in Rau 2007, shows that seasonal mortality in Ancient Rome has a maximum in September for both sexes. A comparable situation is described in Dalla Zuanna and Rosina 2011).

Obviously, since the 18th century a big difference in life conduct between noblemen and noblewomen has faded. This becomes visible in similar death patterns of both sexes since the 18th century.

It is interesting to compare Figure 3b with figure 6 in Fornasin, Breschi and Manfredini (2010). In that paper the mortality of cardinals as a small subset of the upper class is studied. The overall pattern of seasonality (for the time interval 1850-1900) is quite similar to that in Figure 3b. However, the influence of winter is stronger, the 100-index attains a maximum of 140 in February. The minimum 100-index appears in June (below 60, smaller than for the noblemen data) and in August there is a temporal maximum of 100, as for the noblemen. Fornasin, Breschi and Manfredini (2010) compared the seasonal mortality of cardinals with those of the elderly male population of Lazio (the Italian county of which Rome is the capital) for 1873-1878. The pattern is similar to that for the cardinals, but it is a bit more uniform.

Also, figure 2.8 in Rau (2007) is quite similar to figure 3b of the present paper. This figure, based on Wrigley et al. (1997), shows the seasonality of deaths for England between 1580 and 1837 for both sexes. It appears particularly interesting that the curve for 1580-1649 as well as that for the 17th century in figure 3a both indicate a pattern close to uniformity.
However, what may be the explanation for change of the death pattern between the 17th and 18th century? Since it is observed only for men, it cannot be explained by a climatic change (which happened in this time; the so-called ‘Little Ice Age’ began) or by diseases. The authors explain it with tremendous changes in the ‘business of war’. In the early centuries the majority of noblemen had their main job as knights with horses and knaves, and their castles often played a military role. In those times, military actions were preferably carried out during the summer and early autumn; while during winter the nobles retreated to their winter residences. From the 16th century onwards, larger armies of soldiers (‘lansquenets’) who were often ruled by central powers or sovereigns appeared, and they became the standard during absolutism. Thus a large percentage of noblemen ceased to take part in military actions and died in peace at home, a place that now had morphed from castle to modest manor house. Thus, the decline of the noble class is reflected in the shift of the male death maximum.
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Riassunto

Le tendenze nella stagionalità di nascite e decessi dei nobili europei tra il XIV e il XX secolo

Questo studio utilizza dati tratti da WW-Person, un sistema informatico che fornisce informazioni sulle vite dei nobili europei adatte a un’analisi demografico-statistica a partire dal XIV secolo. L’analisi statistica fornisce informazioni sul numero mensile di nascite e morti per secoli. Il comportamento delle nascite è simile a quello evidenziato da altre statistiche già note: i valori massimi sono toccati in marzo e agosto e quelli minimi in giugno e dicembre. Tuttavia, questa stagionalità è meno chiara in questo caso, rispetto alle popolazioni che non consistono solo di nobili...

Il comportamento delle morti mostra un pattern più complesso: (i) vi sono grandi differenze tra i sessi e (ii) vi è un notevole mutamento nel pattern relativo agli uomini tra il XVII e il XVIII secolo. Mentre nel periodo più antico il massimo di morti maschili è osservato tra agosto e settembre, a partire dal XVIII secolo il massimo si sposta a marzo, mentre per le donne il massimo si colloca su marzo durante tutto il periodo. Pertanto, si può ipotizzare che vi sia una relazione con le modalità di conduzione delle guerre.

Summary

Trends in the Seasonality of Births and Deaths of European Nobleman from the 14th to the 20th Century

This study uses data from WW-Person, an information system for life-time data of European noblemen, which contains data feasible for demographical statistical analysis beginning with the 14th century.

The statistical analysis yields information on monthly numbers of births and deaths per century. The behaviour of birth numbers is similar to already known statistics: there are maxima in March and August and minima in June and December. However this seasonality is less clear than in populations which consist not only of members of the noble class...

The death numbers show a more complex pattern: (i) there are big differences between the sexes and (ii) there is a tremendous change in the pattern for men between the 17th and 18th century. While in early times men’s maximum death numbers are observed in August-September, from the 18th century onwards the maximum has shifted to March, while for women the maximum consistently lies in March. So the authors suggest that there is a relationship to the way of conducting wars.
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